

Position of the Groups on conflictual compromise amendments

Indicative voting of the political groups on contested compromises in the Giegold report on transparency, integrity and accountability of EU institutions

COM Ps	Content	Result	EPP	S&D	ECR	ALDE	GUE/ NGL	Green
III	Reversed binding Lobby Transparency	RCV	-	-	+	-	+	+
IV	systematic practice to only meet registered lobbyists		+	+	-		+	+
VII	facilities on Parliament's online profiles of Members to enable them to publish their meetings with interest representatives	14+ / 10-	-	+	+	-	+	+
XIV	online one stop shop		-	+	+	+	+	+
XLI	Training on how to deal with lobbyists for all EU officials		-	+	+	+	+	+
XLV	Cooling-off period for commissioners - 3 years	RCV	-	+	+	+	+	+
XLVI	Independent authority to oversee the implementation of the code of conduct for commissioners	RCV	-	+	+	-	+	+
LII	members of experts groups should register in the TR		-	+	+	+	+	+
LVII	Access to documents		-	+	+	-	+	+
LVIII	transparency of trade negotiations		+	+	-	+	+	+
LIX	transparency of trade negotiations		+	+	-	+	+	+
LX	transparency of trade negotiations		-	+		-	+	+

Position of the Groups on conflictual compromise amendments

LXI	transparency of trade negotiations		-	+	-	-	+	+
LXII	transparency of trade negotiations		-	+	+	+	+	+
LXVIII	whistle-blowers protection		-	+	+	+	+	+
final vote			+ (except two members of the German CDU and CSU)	+	+	+	+	+

remark on the ECR: The ECR generally supported the report through all the process and nearly all compromises. But during the vote the Polish and the British member had partly separate voting lists which made the documentation difficult and mistakes are not excluded.