



20/04/2020

**AMENDMENTS: 51**

**Maria Spyraki, Maria Arena, Frédérique Ries, Danilo Oscar Lancini, Sven Giegold, Pietro Fiocchi, Anja Hazekamp**

A chemicals strategy for sustainability

**Draft motion for a resolution PE646.900 - 2020/2531(RSP)**

Amendments created with

**at4am**

Go to <http://www.at4am.ep.parl.union.eu>

**Amendments per language:**

*EN: 51*

**Amendments justification with more than 500 chars : 0**

**Amendments justification number with more than 500 chars :**

**Amendment 1**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Citation 16 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

- *having regard to the Commission Communication of 11 March 2020 entitled ‘A new Circular Economy Action Plan For a cleaner and more competitive Europe’*

Or. en

**Amendment 2**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*1 a. Considers that any form of pollution needs to be prevented or reduced to levels that are no longer harmful to human health and the environment so as to live well, within the planet’s ecological limits;*

Or. en

**Amendment 3**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 1 b (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*1 b. Considers that ensuring that all uses of chemicals, materials and products are safe, sustainable and circular by design is an essential upstream measure not only to protect human health, achieve a toxic-free environment (air, water, soil)*

*and to protect biodiversity, but also to achieve a climate-neutral, resource-efficient, circular and competitive economy;*

Or. en

**Amendment 4**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

2. Calls on the Commission to come up with a chemicals strategy for sustainability *that effectively ensures* a high level of protection of human health and the environment, *minimising exposure to hazardous* chemicals;

*Amendment*

2. Calls on the Commission to come up with a *comprehensive* chemicals strategy for sustainability *to bring about, in conjunction with the new Circular Economy Action Plan and the new Industrial Strategy, the necessary paradigm shift to implement the zero-pollution ambition for a toxic-free environment, ensuring* a high level of protection of human health and the environment, *fostering innovation in sustainable* chemicals *based on a toxic-free hierarchy of measures as a prerequisite for a resource-efficient, circular, safe, sustainable and competitive economy;*

Or. en

**Amendment 5**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*2 a. Underlines that the forthcoming chemicals strategy for sustainability must also address the sourcing of materials as well as social and environmental standards and energy intensity in the*

*Amendment*

*production of chemicals throughout the supply chain;*

Or. en

**Amendment 6**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 2 b (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**2 b. *Calls on the Commission to use the chemicals strategy for sustainability as an opportunity to further the Union's competitiveness in safe and sustainable chemicals by transiting the market to one of safe and sustainable chemicals with high standards for the protection of health and the environment, sustainable resource use and full respect for human rights;***

Or. en

**Amendment 7**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 4**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

4. Considers that the chemicals strategy should achieve coherence and synergies between chemicals legislation (e.g. REACH, CLP, POPs, mercury, plant protection products, biocides) and related Union legislation, including specific product legislation (e.g. toys, cosmetics, food contact materials, construction products, packaging), general product legislation (e.g. eco-design, eco-label), legislation on environmental compartments (e.g. water and air), *as well as* legislation on industrial installations (e.g. IED, Seveso

4. Considers that the chemicals strategy should achieve coherence and synergies between chemicals legislation (e.g. REACH, CLP, POPs, mercury, plant protection products, biocides) and related Union legislation, including specific product legislation (e.g. toys, cosmetics, food contact materials, construction products, packaging, *pharmaceuticals*), general product legislation (e.g. eco-design, eco-label, *upcoming sustainable product policy*), *legislation on workers' protection*, legislation on environmental

III Directive);

compartments (e.g. water and air),  
legislation on *sources of pollution*,  
*including* industrial installations (e.g. IED,  
Seveso III Directive), *as well as legislation  
on waste (e.g. RoHS, ELV)*;

Or. en

## Amendment 8 Sven Giegold

### Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 5

#### *Draft motion for a resolution*

5. Stresses that the chemicals strategy *has to be aligned with the hierarchy of actions in risk management that prioritises exposure prevention, elimination and substitution over control measures*;

#### *Amendment*

5. Stresses that the chemicals strategy *for sustainability should implement a toxic-free hierarchy of measures, starting with avoidance of non-essential uses and substitution of hazardous substances, then minimisation of exposure to hazardous substances, then elimination of hazardous legacy substances, and lastly remediation of damage<sup>1a</sup>*;

---

<sup>1a</sup> *The change to "chemicals strategy for sustainability" should be seen as a horizontal amendment: if adopted, all references in the resolution to the "chemicals strategy" should be amended accordingly.*

Or. en

## Amendment 9 Sven Giegold

### Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 6

#### *Draft motion for a resolution*

6. Stresses that the strategy should fully reflect the precautionary principle and

#### *Amendment*

6. Stresses that the strategy should fully reflect the precautionary principle and the *principles that preventive action*

the polluter *pays principle*;

*should be taken, that environmental damage should as a priority be rectified at source and that the polluter should pay;*

Or. en

**Amendment 10**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 7**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

7. Highlights that the new chemicals strategy should be based upon robust and up-to-date scientific evidence and that subsequent regulatory action should be accompanied by impact assessments, taking into account the input of relevant stakeholders;

*Amendment*

7. Highlights that the new chemicals strategy *for sustainability* should be based upon robust and up-to-date scientific evidence and that subsequent regulatory action, *other than scientific matters (e.g. hazard identification and hazard classification)*, should be accompanied by impact assessments, taking into account the input of relevant stakeholders;

Or. en

**Amendment 11**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 8 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*8 a. Calls on the Commission to present as part of the chemicals strategy for sustainability an action plan to close the gaps in the current legal framework, giving priority to products consumers come into close and frequent contact with, such as textiles, furniture, children's products and absorbent hygiene products;*

Or. en

**Amendment 12**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

9. Underlines the need for a clear commitment to securing funds for improved research into *safer* alternatives and to promoting substitution of harmful chemicals, non-toxic production and sustainable innovation;

*Amendment*

9. Underlines the need for a clear commitment to securing funds for improved research into *Green chemistry based on safety-by-design to develop safe and sustainable* alternatives, *including non-chemical alternatives*, and to promoting substitution of harmful chemicals, non-toxic production and *safe and* sustainable innovation;

Or. en

**Amendment 13**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

**9 a. Calls on the Commission to develop criteria for safe and sustainable chemicals, materials and products;**

Or. en

**Amendment 14**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 9 b (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**9 b. Calls on the Commission to make effective use of economic instruments to incentivise safe and sustainable products and clean production (e.g. fees, environmental taxes, extended producer**



*responsibility);*

Or. en

## **Amendment 15**

**Sven Giegold**

### **Draft motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 10**

##### *Draft motion for a resolution*

10. Reiterates the need to minimise animal testing with the help of new approach methodologies and calls for increased efforts and funds to this end with aim to establish non-animal based safety assessments in all relevant legislation, in addition to cosmetics; regrets that there are still barriers to the use and acceptance of alternative (non-animal) test methods for regulatory purposes, partially linked to gaps in the available test guidelines<sup>4</sup>, requests action to remedy this situation;

---

<sup>4</sup> Findings of the Fitness Check of the most relevant chemicals legislation (excluding REACH) and identified challenges, gaps and weaknesses' (COM(2019)264).

##### *Amendment*

10. Reiterates the need to minimise animal testing with the help of new approach methodologies and calls for increased efforts and funds to this end with ***the*** aim to establish ***fast, reliable and robust*** non-animal based safety assessments in all relevant legislation, in addition to cosmetics; regrets that there are still barriers to the use and acceptance of alternative (non-animal) test methods for regulatory purposes, partially linked to gaps in the available test guidelines<sup>4</sup>, requests action to remedy this situation; ***considers that best-available science should be used as a benchmark for the validation of new approach methods, rather than outdated animal models;***

---

<sup>4</sup> Findings of the Fitness Check of the most relevant chemicals legislation (excluding REACH) and identified challenges, gaps and weaknesses' (COM(2019)264).

Or. en

## **Amendment 16**

**Sven Giegold**

### **Draft motion for a resolution**

#### **Paragraph 11**

##### *Draft motion for a resolution*

11. Considers that the strategy should

\000000EN.doc

##### *Amendment*

11. Considers that the strategy should

9/26

**EN**

extend the use of generic risk assessment across legislation;

extend the *scope and* use of generic risk assessment across legislation, *including for additional hazard categories such as EDCs and PBTs substances*;

Or. en

## Amendment 17 Sven Giegold

### Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 12

#### *Draft motion for a resolution*

12. Calls on the Commission to take all necessary action to ensure that combination effects are fully addressed across all relevant legislation, including the development of new test methods and the revision of data requirements, *where necessary*;

#### *Amendment*

12. Calls on the Commission to take all necessary action to ensure that *long-term effects*, combination effects *and effects of combined exposure* are fully addressed across all relevant legislation, including the *introduction of a mixture assessment factor<sup>3a</sup>*, and *where necessary the further development of guidance documents*, new test methods and the revision of data requirements;

---

*<sup>3a</sup> Swedish Government Official Reports, SOU 2019:45: Future chemical risk management, Accounting for combination effects and assessing chemicals in groups*

Or. en

## Amendment 18 Sven Giegold

### Draft motion for a resolution Paragraph 16

#### *Draft motion for a resolution*

16. Calls for the strategy to improve the implementation of REACH, with regard to registration, evaluation *and* authorisation; reiterates the principle of ‘no data, no

#### *Amendment*

16. Calls for the strategy to *significantly* improve the implementation of REACH, with regard to registration, evaluation, authorisation *and restriction*;

market'; calls for ensuring the mandatory updating of registration dossiers, based on latest available science; calls for transparency with regard to the compliance with registration obligations, and for giving explicit power to ECHA to withdraw registration numbers in case of continued non-compliance;

reiterates the principle of 'no data, no market'; ***insists that all registrations of substances have to be compliant by the end of 2021 at the latest***; calls for ensuring the mandatory updating of registration dossiers, based on latest available science, ***so that registrations stay compliant***; calls for transparency with regard to the compliance with registration obligations, and for giving explicit power to ECHA to withdraw registration numbers in case of continued non-compliance;

Or. en

**Amendment 19**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***16 a. Calls on the Commission, Member States and ECHA to cooperate to include all relevant currently known substances of very high concern on the candidate list by the end of this year, as committed to by former Commission Vice-President Tajani and Commissioner Potočnik in 2010 and as reconfirmed in a Commission roadmap of 2013<sup>2a</sup>;***

---

<sup>2a</sup>

***file:///P:/Environment/REACH/Council/2013/Council%20roadmap%20on%20substances%20of%20very%20high%20concern.pdf***

Or. en

**Amendment 20**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16 b (new)**

\000000EN.doc

11/26

**EN**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**16 b. Calls on the Commission to properly apply REACH in line with the Judgment of 7 March 2019 in case T-837/16 (Sweden vs. Commission on lead chromates);**

Or. en

**Amendment 21**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16 c (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**16 c. Calls on the Commission to respect the deadlines laid down in REACH, in particular with regard to decisions related to authorisation or restriction;**

Or. en

**Amendment 22**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16 d (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**16 d. Stresses the importance of requiring that applications for authorisation are sufficiently precise with regard to the uses of the substance concerned so as to be able to identify the existence, or absence, of suitable alternatives;**

Or. en

**Amendment 23**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 16 e (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**16 e. Stresses the importance of requiring that applications for authorisation are also sufficiently precise with regard to the exposure to the substance concerned so as to be able to properly assess the risk and to take adequate risk management measures, in particular for workers;**

Or. en

**Amendment 24  
Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 16 f (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**16 f. Calls for improving the restriction procedure by the grouping of substances and by clearly identifying and stating the scientific uncertainties of the risk assessment, the time to generate missing information and by considering the costs of inaction; calls to increase the level of evidence for derogations to proposed restriction;**

Or. en

**Amendment 25  
Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 16 g (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**16 g. Calls on ECHA to make publicly available the toxicological and**

*ecotoxicological studies that are submitted  
by registrants and applicants;*

Or. en

**Amendment 26**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16 h (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***16 h. Considers furthermore that REACH needs to be strengthened by including the registration of all polymers, by strengthening information requirements on toxicological properties and on uses and exposure, inter alia by requiring a chemical safety report also for substances between 1 and 10 tonnes;***

Or. en

**Amendment 27**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 16 i (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***16 i. Calls on the Commission to propose extending the scope of the fast-track procedure under Article 68(2) of REACH related to consumer use to all substances of very high concern;***

Or. en

**Amendment 28**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 17**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

17. Considers that the evaluation of substances needs both to improve and speed-up;

*Amendment*

17. Considers that the evaluation of substances ***and the resulting risk management*** needs both to improve and speed-up ***in general, and in particular with regard to the identification of carcinogenic substances in light of the Commission's commitment to fight cancer;***

Or. en

**Amendment 29**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 18**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

18. Asks the Commission and the Member States to refrain from authorising substances and approving products with incomplete data sets about health and environment hazards;

*Amendment*

18. Asks the Commission and the Member States to refrain from authorising substances and approving products with incomplete data sets about health and environment hazards, ***or for which applicants have not been able to demonstrate the lack of suitable alternatives, where this is a requirement for granting an authorisation;***

Or. en

**Amendment 30**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 20**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

20. Stresses that the legislation on food contact materials should be revised;

*Amendment*

20. Stresses that the legislation on food contact materials should be revised ***to achieve a coherent, protective approach to the safety of materials and products that come into contact with food in line with***

*CLP and REACH; insists in particular on the need for comprehensive, harmonised regulation of all food contact materials based on the principle of ‘no data, no market’, effective enforcement and improved information to consumers<sup>1a</sup>; calls for a ban of substances of very high concern in food contact materials;*

---

*<sup>1a</sup> This part of the amendment was proposed by the European Consumer Association BEUC.*

Or. en

**Amendment 31**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*21 a. Considers that substances that are persistent, mobile and toxic or very persistent and very mobile should be added to the list of substances of very high concern under REACH;*

Or. en

**Amendment 32**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 21 b (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*21 b. Considers furthermore that substances that are neurotoxic or immunotoxic should be seen as being of equivalent concern to substances of very high concern under REACH;*

Or. en



**Amendment 33**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 22 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**22 a. Calls on the Commission to make a legislative proposal to include substances that are toxic for reproduction within the scope of the Directive 2004/37/EC on carcinogens and mutagens at work to align it with the way CMRs are treated in other EU chemicals legislation so as to better protect workers from work-related reproductive disorders;**

Or. en

**Amendment 34**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

24. Calls on the Commission to **introduce** new hazard classes in the CLP Regulation (e.g. for EDCs);

24. Calls on the Commission to **propose the introduction of** new hazard classes in the CLP Regulation (e.g. for EDCs) **and to promote the harmonisation of the criteria for classification and labelling of persistent, bioaccumulative and toxic (PBT) and very persistent and very bioaccumulative (vPvB) substances at the level of the UN;**

Or. en

**Amendment 35**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 24 a (new)**

**24 a. Recalls the commitment of the Union to ensure the safety of manufactured nanomaterials and materials with similar properties pursuant to the Seventh Environment Action Programme and reaffirms its calls of 24 April 2009 for a revision of all relevant legislation to ensure safety for all applications of nanomaterials in products with potential health, environmental or safety impacts over their life cycle and to develop adequate tests to assess the hazards of and exposure to nanomaterials over their entire life-cycle;**

Or. en

**Amendment 36  
Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution  
Paragraph 25**

25. Stresses that the chemicals strategy for sustainability should improve the assessment of complex substances (e.g. substances of unknown or variable composition (UVCBs)) **and registration of polymers**, notably by supporting ECHA to develop further the solutions already put in place (e.g. Substance Identity Profile); calls for the development of specific methods to assess this type of substances which allow for a solid scientific based approach and are practically applicable;

25. Stresses that the chemicals strategy for sustainability should **introduce the registration of polymers, extend the registration requirement to all low volume chemicals, and** improve the assessment of complex substances (e.g. substances of unknown or variable composition (UVCBs)), notably by supporting ECHA to develop further the solutions already put in place (e.g. Substance Identity Profile); calls for the development of specific methods to assess this type of substances which allow for a solid scientific based approach and are practically applicable;

Or. en

**Amendment 37  
Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

26. Calls for full implementation of the plant protection products (PPP) legislation; calls on the Commission to publish the results of the REFIT exercise of the PPP legislation as soon as possible; calls on the Commission to accelerate Europe's transition towards low-risk pesticides and reduce pesticide dependency to meet the objectives of the directive on Sustainable Use of Pesticides and support farmers in doing so;

*Amendment*

26. Calls for full implementation of the plant protection products (PPP) legislation; calls on the Commission to publish the results of the REFIT exercise of the PPP legislation as soon as possible; ***calls on the Commission to heed the various calls of the European Parliament of 16 January 2019 to improve the Union's authorisation procedure for pesticides, and*** calls on the Commission to accelerate Europe's transition towards low-risk pesticides and reduce pesticide dependency to meet the objectives of the directive on Sustainable Use of Pesticides and support farmers in doing so;

Or. en

**Amendment 38**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 26 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

***26 a. Calls on the Commission to set specific targets to significantly reduce both the use of chemical pesticides and the risk arising from them;***

Or. en

**Amendment 39**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

28. Underlines the importance of developing non-toxic material cycles; reaffirms that, in accordance with the waste hierarchy, prevention takes priority over recycling and that, accordingly, recycling should not justify the perpetuation of the use of hazardous legacy substances;

*Amendment*

28. Underlines the importance of developing non-toxic material cycles ***for the transition to a circular and climate-neutral economy; considers that articles made from virgin materials and those made from recycled materials should fulfil the same chemical standards;*** reaffirms that, in accordance with the waste hierarchy, prevention takes priority over recycling and that, accordingly, recycling should not justify the perpetuation of the use of hazardous legacy substances;

Or. en

**Amendment 40**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 28 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

***28 a. Reaffirms its position of 13 September 2018 on the options to address the interface between chemical, product and waste legislation that substances of concern are those that meet the criteria set out in Article 57 of REACH as substances of very high concern, substances prohibited under the Stockholm Convention (POPs), specific substances restricted in articles listed in Annex XVII to REACH and specific substances regulated under specific sectoral and/or product legislation;***

Or. en

**Amendment 41**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 30 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**30 a.** *Calls on the Commission to immediately withdraw its objections submitted to the WTO to New York state legislation that would require manufacturers of children's products to disclose the presence of chemicals of concern and that would allow the state to ban a subset of high-priority substances;*

Or. en

**Amendment 42**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

31. Points out that the strategy should help the chemical industry to reach climate-neutrality and the zero pollution **objectives** as well as support the good functioning of the internal market **while enhancing** the competitiveness and innovation of EU industry;

*Amendment*

31. Points out that the strategy should help the chemical industry to reach climate-neutrality and the zero pollution **objective for a toxic-free environment** as well as support the good functioning of the internal market **and thereby enhance** the competitiveness and **safe and sustainable** innovation of EU industry;

Or. en

**Amendment 43**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 31 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

**31 a.** *Calls on the Commission to take the necessary action so that the reporting requirements at EU and global level pursuant to the Kyiv Protocol on Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers are revised at latest by 2022 so that the*

*Amendment*

*provided information allows benchmarking at facility level with regard to the releases of chemicals, is publicly available and that EU legislation is adapted accordingly;*

Or. en

**Amendment 44**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 32**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

32. Calls for support to be given to SMEs to help them comply with EU *chemical* legislation;

*Amendment*

32. Calls for support to be given to SMEs to help them comply with EU *chemicals* legislation, *including technical support for the substitution of hazardous substances by safe alternatives;*

Or. en

**Amendment 45**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 33**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

33. Stresses that legislation is key to give direction to the necessary innovation for the transition to a circular and sustainable chemicals sector and long-term investments;

*Amendment*

33. Stresses that legislation is key to give direction to the necessary innovation for the transition to a circular, *safe* and sustainable chemicals sector and long-term investments *to achieve a toxic-free environment;*

Or. en

**Amendment 46**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 34**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

34. Highlights the need for Union chemicals legislation to provide incentives for sustainable chemistry, materials (including plastics) and technologies, including non-chemical alternatives, that are safe and non-toxic by design;

*Amendment*

34. Highlights the need for Union chemicals legislation to provide incentives for **safe and** sustainable chemistry, materials (including plastics) and technologies, including non-chemical alternatives, that are safe and non-toxic by design;

Or. en

**Amendment 47**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 34 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**34 a. Stresses the need to introduce and/or adapt economic instruments to achieve an internalisation of external costs throughout the life cycle of chemicals;**

Or. en

**Amendment 48**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 36 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

**36 a. Calls on the Commission to audit the enforcement systems in Member States with regard to chemicals legislation and to make recommendations for improvement, strengthen cooperation and coordination between enforcement bodies, and propose EU enforcement instruments, where necessary; calls on the Commission to make use of the powers granted under article 11 (4) of Regulation (EU)**

*2019/1020<sup>3a</sup> in order to ensure adequate testing of products across the Union;*

---

*<sup>3a</sup> Regulation(EU) 2019/1020 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 June 2019 on market surveillance and compliance of products and amending Directive2004/42/EC and Regulations (EC) No 765/2008 and (EU) No 305/2011*

Or. en

**Amendment 49**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 36 b (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

*Amendment*

*36 b. Calls on the Commission to take swift legal action when it establishes that EU chemicals laws are not being observed; recalls its observation of 16 January 2020 <sup>4a</sup> that procedures have to be more efficient in the field of environmental infringements; calls on the Commission to review its internal guidelines on infringement procedures and to make use of the forthcoming Communication on Better Regulation to ensure fast and efficient enforcement of European laws;*

---

*<sup>4a</sup> European Parliament Resolution of 16 January 2020 on the 15th meeting of the Conference of Parties (COP15) to the Convention on Biological Diversity*

Or. en

**Amendment 50**  
**Sven Giegold**



**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 37**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

37. Calls on the Commission to ensure that imported products abide by the same standards as those governing chemicals and products produced in the Union; considers that control checks of non-compliance inside the Union and at its borders should be enhanced;

*Amendment*

37. Calls on the Commission to ensure that imported ***products as well as exported chemicals and*** products abide by the same standards as those governing chemicals and products produced ***and used*** in the Union; considers that control checks of non-compliance inside the Union and at its borders should be enhanced; ***welcomes the long term action plan for better implementation and enforcement of single market rules*** <sup>5a</sup> ***and calls on the Commission to make full use of forthcoming proposals (Customs Single Window, Consumer Agenda, Digital Services Act etc.) to ensure enforcement of EU chemicals laws;***

---

<sup>5a</sup> *COM(2020) 94 final*

Or. en

**Amendment 51**  
**Sven Giegold**

**Draft motion for a resolution**  
**Paragraph 38 a (new)**

*Draft motion for a resolution*

***38 a. Calls on the Commission, to continue working on a successor to the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM), including a reform of the Special Programme; calls on the Commissions to contribute to the negotiations for the development of an adequate, predictable, and sustainable financing mechanism in that regard;***

Or. en

